

## Minutes

### Sacramento Suburban Water District Regular Board Meeting Monday, June 15, 2015

#### Call to Order

President Schild called the meeting to at 6:32 p.m. All five Directors were present.

#### Roll Call

Directors Present: Frederick Gayle, Craig Locke, Neil Schild, Kevin Thomas and Robert Wichert.

Directors Absent: None.

Staff Present: General Manager Robert Roscoe, Dan York, Dan Bills, Christine Bosley, Greg Bundesen, David Espinoza, Dave Jones, Annette O’Leary, John Valdes and Lynne Yost.

Public Present: Brenda Washington-Davis, William Eubanks, Tom Gray, TJ Newman, Francoise Paul, Tim Schaefer, Phil Vercruyssen and Avery Wiseman.

#### Announcements

- The Joint Board meeting on June 25, 2015 at 6 p.m. will be held at the Citrus Heights Community Center, located at 6300 Fountain Square Drive, Citrus Heights.
- The District will be closed on Friday, July 3rd in observance of Independence Day.
- Final conservation tiers have been announced by SWRCB and SSWD is at a 32% reduction mandate down from 36% previously. General Manager Roscoe thanked staff for providing sufficient documentation to facilitate this reconsideration.
- Staff would like to pull Item 2, Minutes of the May 18, 2015 regular Board Meeting to make a small correction in a staff member’s attendance. Lynne Yost was not present and will be removed from roll call.
- Francoise Paul, the grand prize winner of our online conservation survey, was present to accept her award.

#### Public Comment

Tim Schaefer, president of Citrus Heights City Council, Area 5, thanked staff for their presentation but wished to provide feedback to the Board. Mr. Schaefer stated that staff’s presentation, as well as the presentations from Citrus Heights Water District and County of Sacramento, was Draconian in nature and suggested messaging that was more of a “team” approach. GM Roscoe thanked Mr. Schafer for the feedback and offered that the presentation may have conveyed a heightened sense of urgency as there is a goal of conserving water during the summer months or there would be no chance of meeting the SWRCB conservation goal. The Board thanked Mr. Schaefer for his comments.

**Consent Items**

1. Minutes of the May 14, 2015 Special Board Workshop
2. Minutes of the May 18, 2015 Regular Board Meeting
3. California Special Districts Association (CSDA) 2015 Board Elections
4. Resolution No. 15-09 Accepting Grant of Easement and Right of Way for 701 Treehouse Lane
5. Resolution No. 15-10 Accepting Grant of Easement and Right of Way for 3700 Orchard Lane
6. Resolution No. 15-11 Accepting Grant of Easement and Right of Way for 2950 Hurley Way
7. Ethics Policy (PL - BOD 004)
8. Engagement of Auditor Policy (PL - Fin 001)
9. Unclaimed Check Policy (PL - Fin 007)

Staff requested item 2 be pulled from Consent, Director Thomas requested item 6 be pulled and Director Gayle requested item 7 be pulled.

Director Wichert made a motion to approve items 1, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 9; Director Locke seconded. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Schild, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:		RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

Regarding item 2, Lynne Yost was not present at the May 18, 2015 regular Board meeting and will stricken from the record.

Director Thomas made a motion to approve item 2; Director Locke seconded. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Schild, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:		RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

Regarding item 6, Director Thomas noted the staff report stated a hydrant will be donated to the school. Staff responded that the hydrant in question would actually be installed by the school and then donated to the District. Director Gayle asked if the school would continue to maintain the hydrant; staff confirmed the school will continue to maintain.

Director Thomas made a motion to approve item 6; Director Locke seconded. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Schild, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:		RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

Regarding item 7, Director Gayle asked if the Directors had reviewed the item and were aware of the Board/GM relationship. The Board members confirmed they had reviewed the item.

Director Locke made a motion to approve item 7; Director Wichert seconded. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Schild, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:		RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

### Items for Discussion and Action

#### 10. Drought Conservation Update Report

GM Roscoe introduced the report. No action was required but was being presented in case there was public comment. The report was written when the District's SWRCB mandated conservation requirement was 36%, the SWRCB has since dropped the requirement to 32%.

President Schild stated his concern that the leak detection program will not be started until fall of 2015. Greg Bundesen, Water Conservation Supervisor, responded that staff believes that by waiting until fall to initiate this program they will eliminate false leak noises which might be caused by late night or early morning outdoor irrigation.

President Schild requested the start date for installing AMI meters that are 3" or greater. Staff has procured a contractor and will report back to the Board the start date of those installations.

William Eubanks stated the District has contracted out a lot of its work, and questioned why the drought conservation signage is taking so long. Staff responded that the signage required an agreement with respect to messaging but that they have been ordered. Mr. Eubanks stated signs should be posted down several streets. GM Roscoe explained there was a county ordinance to follow and would also require approval of home owners and that the signs were primarily for those customers who are letting their lawns go brown.

Mr. Eubanks questioned if there would be information posted to the website with regard to the rebate recipients. Mr. Eubanks believes the rebate monies come from public

money and the addresses of rebate recipients should be posted to the website so people can drive by and see what was done with that money. Director Wichert commented that there may be privacy issues. Mr. Eubanks feels that if recipients accept public money then it becomes public information and he wants to be able to see the end result.

Mr. Eubanks also commented that the drop in water pressure means it takes longer to water a yard and is inconvenient to ratepayers.

Director Wichert suggested taking restaurant window clings directly to the restaurants. Staff responded the clings will be handed out to the sit-down restaurants in the District.

Director Thomas questioned if the District will be using Twitter as an additional outreach measure. GM Roscoe responded the District's IT Manager has been concerned with online security issues but will discuss activating social media.

Director Thomas also asked about adding additional drought landscaping classes. Staff confirmed more classes will be offered. The next class is scheduled for July 11, 2015.

Director Wichert questioned whether the District has received any requests for variances from the Large Landscape Irrigation customers. GM Roscoe responded that there hadn't been any requests yet but that he does expect San Juan Unified School District to be the first.

President Schild suggested agendaizing the discussion regarding the posting of addresses of those receiving rebates. There were some additional comments. The Board requested staff investigate what other districts were doing and report back.

**11. 2015 Budget Amendment**

Finance Director Dan Bills introduced the report. President Schild stated he was disappointed in the report and, during the May 14, 2015 Board Workshop, Mr. Bills had previously shown how the money requested for the drought programs would show virtually no increase in the budget. Director Wichert would like to see the effect on reserves.

Director Locke made a motion to table the item until the next Board meeting; Director Wichert seconded. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Schild, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:		RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

**12. Status of Phase 2A Reorganization Study**

GM Roscoe introduced the report. The joint Board meeting with San Juan Water District is scheduled for Thursday, June 25, 2015 at 6 p.m. at the Citrus Heights Community Center. GM Roscoe announced Brenda Davis Washington was in attendance and was available to provide a status on her report to date.

Director Gayle questioned why the Citrus Heights Community Center was chosen as the meeting place rather than a local school. GM Roscoe responded the Community Center is a larger venue and is approximately half way between the two districts. Director Gayle requested lots of comment cards be provided for the meeting.

Ms. Washington-Davis stated she would complete the final report by June 22, 2015. Ms. Washington-Davis also stated she was primarily looking to see if San Juan Water District (SJWD) could make water available to SSWD independently or if the two districts would need to be combined in some way.

President Schild voiced his concern with regard to the Board members having enough time to review the report prior to the June 25, 2015 meeting and, if the Board did not have enough time to review the report he would rather not disclose the report. Director Wichert also voiced the same concern and his frustration with the timing of this report. Director Wichert stated he would like Ms. Washington-Davis to provide what she has to date or he is also comfortable with getting the report after the joint Board meeting. President Schild stated he was actually more comfortable with waiting to get the report after the joint Board meeting so that the Board members really have the chance to look at it and ask any questions they may have.

Ms. Washington-Davis provided a verbal report of her work to date. Ms. Washington-Davis stated that SJWD has rights to approximately 82,000 AF of which approximately 26,400 AF are from pre-1914 rights and a majority of the pre-1914 water has been contracted to Citrus Heights Water District (CHWD). She stated her bottom line is that she agrees with the Bertkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan (BKS) memo which stated that, assuming the other SJWD wholesale family members' water needs can be met with other water, the pre-1914 water could be made available to SSWD. It would be do-able except if SJWD doesn't want to change where they are using the pre-1914 water or if CHWD won't consent to using the CVP water rather than the pre-1914.

Director Gayle questioned whether, suggesting the merger could be likened to a marriage, SSWD would need a pre-nuptial. Ms. Washington-Davis agreed some assurances would need to be made before going through with a merger.

Director Wichert questioned whether Ms. Washington-Davis explored opportunities without a merger. Ms. Washington-Davis responded that those opportunities, along with their drawbacks, were addressed in the BKS memo. Director Wichert question if she had looked into a Joint Project Agreement (JPA). She stated she had looked into a potential joint board and referenced Biggs-West Gridley, which has an arrangement where a joint board makes certain decisions but each district continues to exercise their individual powers.

Director Wichert also expressed his concern with regards to SJWD's potential commitments to meet water demands for future growth within the wholesale families' boundaries. Ms. Washington-Davis stated that there wasn't anything outlining this particular issue in what she had read; however, it would ultimately be up to SJWD to determine. Ms. Washington-Davis restated the need for assurances upfront from SJWD

before going forward with a merger. Director Wichert commented that this was the first time he had heard that CHWD would have to agree to amend their contract with SJWD to allow the pre-1914 water to come to SSWD. Director Wichert stated he would be looking for further information on this topic in the report from Ms. Washington-Davis.

Mr. Eubanks stated he was offended when SJWD's Director Costa came to the last two Board meetings and pressured President Schild into setting a meeting date for the joint Board meeting. Mr. Eubanks questioned the need for the joint Board meeting given that staff had yet to respond to the public comments made regarding the Phase 2A report. He suggested postponing the meeting until those responses have been made so the Board has those answers in order to base a decision on whether to go forward with the 2B study. Mr. Eubanks stated he does not believe the merger will benefit SSWD's south area as the south service area is fluoridated; only if the fluoridation is discontinued is there a potential for some of that water entering into the south area. Further, the infrastructure that would be required to be built would cost tens of millions and would not be funded by the SJWD wholesale families or the 10,000 retail customers of SJWD.

Tom Gray of Fair Oaks Water District (FOWD) states full disclosure to the public is very important. He stated they are directing their customers to both SSWD's and SJWD's websites and suggests posting the comments on the Phase 2A study to the websites. Mr. Gray stated he feels he represents the "first wife" and SSWD would be negotiating a pre-nuptial for the "second wife". Further, Mr. Gray agrees with the Board that assurances are necessary and that FOWD, CHWD, Orange Vale Water Company, and the City of Folsom need their assurances first before SJWD promises anything to SSWD and that hearing that the pre-1914 water would have to be obligated to SSWD is interesting. As far as the two districts helping each other while remaining independent, that could be accomplished through the pump back project. Mr. Gray stated that they want to be positive and work collaboratively and are asking for a seat at the table but, as of yet, they feel they haven't been heard.

Director Wichert questioned Mr. Gray as to whether they had taken a support position with regard to the pump back project. Mr. Gray stated he intended to comment on that agenda item as well. Director Wichert stated he would be interested in hearing from him then.

President Schild questioned Ms. Washington-Davis as to whether she looked into the shortage supply of the CVP water. Ms. Washington-Davis responded that M&I could be cut back to 75% or 50% depending on various factors. Ms. Washington-Davis also stated that part of the water is "Fazio Water" and can only be used in certain places. President Schild stated the Fazio water contracts were written in 1988 but haven't been used because there is no water.

Director Wichert asked Ms. Washington-Davis when a draft report might be available. Ms. Washington-Davis stated she would have it by Friday. Director Thomas asked

when the Board could expect staff's responses to the comments. GM Roscoe responded SSWD staff was working with SJWD staff to categorize and respond to those comments.

Director Wichert made a motion to have staff add the comments to the District's website June 16, 2015; President Schild seconded. GM Roscoe stated that, if it is a consensus of the Board to do so, then he would just do it. If the Board was more comfortable directing him to do it, he was fine with that as well. No vote was taken.

Mr. Bills stated that the Board would not be asked to do anything they weren't comfortable doing and that it was clear the Board was not comfortable. Staff is not asking to decide whether to merge or not at the joint Board meeting. The Board will be asked accept the Phase 2A Report, to review the comments and then decide if they have enough answers to determine if the discussions of merging should be discontinued or if the Board wanted to move forward with asking more questions in the Phase 2B Study. President Schild stated a Phase 2B Study would need to address groundwater quality impacts.

Director Locke requested staff send him copies of the draft responses to the comments. Director Locke stated he was aware they would only be draft and not ready for public viewing. Mr. Bills responded he would send them to the Directors.

**13. Resolution No. 15-12 Amending Regulations Nos. 1 through 14, 16 and 17 of the Regulations Governing Water Service (PL - Adm 001)**

Assistant General Manager York summarized the report. Comments were submitted by President Schild which were addressed in the report. One comment, regarding adding capital facilities charges to hydrant use, was not addressed. Staff will complete an analysis and this comment will be brought back at a later date, most likely in December 2015. Director Wichert stated the Facilities and Operations Committee recommends adoption.

Director Wichert made a motion to amend Regulation Nos. 1 through 14, 16 and 17 of the District's Regulations Governing Water Service; Director Locke seconded. The motion carried by unanimous vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Schild, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:		RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

**14. 2015 Water Transfer – Partnering with City of Sacramento**

Director Wichert stated he is uncomfortable approving a water transfer and added that he had a candidate statement that said he would not approve water sales to southern California and he is not interested in making a lot of money. President Schild stated the District had spent a lot of money to bank groundwater due to previous overdrafts to the basin. President Schild voiced his concerns about over pumping the water and the resulting water quality issues. GM Roscoe responded that the amount of water that would be pumped would be insignificant and that the modeling that the Sacramento

Groundwater Authority has done shows there would be no movement in the plume. Director Locke commented that given the District’s inability to use the water for its own use during the drought, this would be an opportunity for the ratepayers to recoup some of the costs of banking the water. Director Locke questioned how much of an increase in pumping would this be over the normal course of pumping water for the District’s south area use. President Schild stated it would be about a 33% increase.

President Schild made a motion to deny the water transfer agreement; Director Wichert seconded. The motion failed by 2/3 vote.

AYES:	Schild and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:	Gayle, Locke and Thomas.	RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

Director Thomas made a motion to go forward with the water transfer agreement; Director Locke seconded. The motion carried by 3/2 vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke and Thomas.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:	Schild and Wichert.	RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

**15. Antelope Pump Back Project Operation and Maintenance Agreement**

President Schild stated he was against the project for the same reasons as the previous item. President Schild restated his concern that over pumping water in the basin would lead to water quality issues.

Director Wichert stated that there was a public comment on this topic and he asked to hear that comment at this time. Mr. Gray provided two documents - a joint letter from FOWD and CHWD dated February 25, 2015 which stated their concerns with the Antelope Pump Back Project, specifically the pre-1914 water rights and SJWD’s response dated March 11, 2015. Director Wichert questioned whether legal counsel had reviewed the project and determined the project could go forward without an environmental analysis. Mr. Gray stated that both Boards feel there are significant impacts that haven’t been addressed. Director Wichert questioned whether a CEQA Environmental Report was as necessary as the letter indicated. Mr. Gray stated they felt it was unless the stakeholders could all come together and work out some of the issues, such as the pre-1914 water rights. Director Wichert stated that, in his experience, it was either required or it wasn’t required. GM Roscoe responded that a CEQA notification was posted, no comments were received, the notification was completed. GM Roscoe stated this was a mutual aid project. Mr. Gray stated that if this project is strictly a mutual aid project, he could go back to his Board and get endorsements for it today; however, at other meetings he has attended, this project has been represented as many other things than a mutual aid project.

President Schild commented that “dry year” wasn’t defined and that there is nothing about water quality. President Schild stated the agreement is not sufficiently spelled out. GM Roscoe responded that under Agreement number 1, paragraph a, in the very

last line, it states “SSWD agrees that available groundwater supplies surplus to SSWD’s needs will first be made available to SJWD through the Station before they are made available or utilized for other purposes.” President Schild commented that there is no water surplus to our needs as the District continues to bring water in whenever possible. Director Thomas stated it would be up to the Board to determine what water is surplus to the District’s needs. Director Wichert commented that should SJWD secure other water sources, they would need this project to get the water up to them; additionally, if SJWD were in dire straits, Director Wichert would like to be able to help them.

Director Thomas made a motion to adopt the subject operation and maintenance agreement; Director Gayle seconded. The motion carried by 4/1 vote.

AYES:	Gayle, Locke, Thomas and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:	Schild.	RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

**Information Items**

**16. Water Conservation and Regional Water Efficiency Program Report**

A written report was provided.

a. *Drought Report*

A written report was provided.

b. *State Regulation Update*

A written report was provided.

c. *Water Conservation Program and Results*

A written report was provided.

d. *Upcoming Events*

A written report was provided.

**17. District Activity Reports**

A written report was provided.

a. *Water Operations and Exceptions Report*

A written report was provided. Mr. Eubanks noted the Water Operations Activity report stated there were 11 main leaks and asked how much water was lost due to those leaks. AGM York responded that water lost is estimated. GM Roscoe stated water produced is counted against the SWRCB conservation tier. Service line leaks are counted separately from main line leaks.

Director Thomas asked how he could get on the Aerojet Superfund Advisory Group meeting list. John Valdes replied he would have Director Thomas added.

b. *Customer Service Report*  
A written report was provided.

c. *Community Outreach Report*  
A written report was provided.

18. **Engineering Report**

A written report was provided. Director Locke asked who the county project manager for the Arden Oaks project was. Staff responded Hardeep Sidhu and that staff would provide a contact number for Director Locke.

a. *Major Capital Improvement Projects*  
A written report was provided.

b. *County and City Projects/Coordination*  
A written report was provided.

c. *McClellan Business Park*  
A written report was provided.

d. *Groundwater Quality Projects*  
A written report was provided.

e. *Developer Projects*  
A written report was provided.

f. *Other*  
A written report was provided.

19. **Financial Report**

A written report was provided.

a. *Financial Statements – May 2015*  
A written report was provided.

b. *Financial Activity – May 2015*  
A written report was provided.

c. *Investments Outstanding and Activity – May 2015*  
A written report was provided.

d. *Cash Expenditures – May 2015*  
A written report was provided.

e. *Credit Card Expenditures – April 2015*  
A written report was provided.

- f. *Directors Compensation and Expense Accounting – Through May 2015*  
A written report was provided.
- g. *Market Report Yields – March 2010 through May 2015*  
A written report was provided.
- h. *District Reserve Balances – May 2015*  
A written report was provided.
- i. *Information Required by Bond Agreement*  
A written report was provided.

**20. General Manager’s Report**

- a. *Resolution Placing in Nomination Director Neil W. Schild for ACWA Region 4 Board Member*

A written report was provided. President Schild stated he wished to be nominated for Director of ACWA Region 4, that he has the support of 20 of the 46 districts represented by Region 4, but that he can’t be nominated unless he has the support of the Board. At the last meeting, GM Roscoe was nominated for Chair of ACWA Region 4 and it was believed that both President Schild and GM Roscoe could be nominated. It has since been discovered that only one may be nominated. President Schild sent staff an email requesting his name be withdrawn. President Schild stated he could have another district Board nominate him but he would need the support of our Board.

Director Wichert made a motion to nominate President Schild for Director of ACWA Region 4; President Schild seconded. GM Roscoe suggested the motion include withdrawing the first nomination. Director Wichert rephrased his motion to withdraw the nomination of GM Roscoe and nominate President Schild for ACWA Region 4 Board; President Schild seconded.

Director Thomas stated that his is a firm believer in Directors participating in outside organizations but that SWRCB working group for conservation tiers would come from the ACWA Board members and that GM Roscoe is up for Chair and has done a great job, also other Districts are looking for GM Roscoe to be on the Board. The vote was tallied with two in favor (Wichert and Schild), two opposed (Thomas and Gayle), and one abstention. Discussion ensued as to the final direction in the case of the abstention. Director Locke cast his vote to oppose. The motion failed by 2/3 vote.

AYES:	Schild and Wichert.	ABSTAINED:	
NOES:	Gayle, Locke and Thomas.	RECUSED:	
ABSENT:			

b. *2015 Budget Reallocations*

A written report was provided. Director Wichert noted that the estimates for the Antelope Pump Back Project and the meter retrofit projects were low and asked if they were from the same company. Staff responded that they were from different sources.

c. *Potential Proposition 84 IRWM Grant Projects*

A written report was provided.

d. *Update on CAL-Fire Operations at McClellan Business Park*

A written report was provided.

e. *Rutland Well Landscaping*

A written report was provided.

f. *Water Conservation Survey Results*

A written report was provided.

g. *Main Replacement Prioritization List*

A written report was provided.

h. *Improvement Standards and Technical Specifications*

A written report was provided.

21. **Groundwater Quality Update**

A written report was provided. There was a brief, non-substantive discussion regarding various agencies related to the formation of groundwater sustainability agencies (GSAs). Director Wichert requested staff keep the Board apprised of the continuing discussions related to proposed GSAs and proposed basin boundary adjustments.

Mr. Eubanks questioned why this item is on the agenda. GM Roscoe responded the information was requested by the Board and feels it is good information for the Board. Director Wichert asked if there had been any results from the monitoring well which monitors the plume. Staff responded the results indicated no movement in the plume.

Director Wichert asked that a map be presented to the Board showing all the sub-basins around the District. GM Roscoe stated he could provide a link that showed all of them.

22. **Legislative and Regulatory Update**

A written report was provided. GM Roscoe summarized the report and brought Board attention to Trailer Bill 825, on which staff recommends adopting an oppose position. GM Roscoe also commented on a new addition, Trailer Bill 807, which staff also recommends taking an oppose position. Trailer Bills do not go through the regular vetting process of the various committees and these bills should go through the regular process. The Board had no changes to the recommended positions.

### 23. Upcoming Policy Reviews

- a. *Budget Policy (PL – Fin 012)*  
A written report was provided. Directors' comments are due by July 6, 2015.

### Committee Reports

- 24. a. *Facilities and Operations Committee*  
Notes from May 21, 2015 meeting were provided.
- b. *Finance and Audit Committee*  
No report.
- c. *Government Affairs Committee*  
No report.
- d. *2x2 Water Management Ad Hoc Committee*  
See separate agenda item – Status of Phase 2A Reorganization Study.
- e. *Ad Hoc Water Banking and Transfer Committee*  
Notes from May 18, 2015 meeting were provided.
- f. *Ad Hoc Water Rights Review Committee (Director Schild)*  
No report.

### Director's Reports (Per AB 1234, Directors will report on their meeting activities)

- 25. a. *Regional Water Authority*  
No report.  
  
*Regional Water Authority Executive Committee*  
No report.
- b. *Sacramento Groundwater Authority*  
President Schild provided an oral report regarding the June 11, 2015 meeting.
- c. *Water Forum Successor Effort*  
No report.
- d. *Other Reports*  
Director Thomas provided an oral report regarding the May 20, 2015 Carmichael Water District Special Board meeting, the May 26, 2015 meeting with the General Manager and the May 27, 2015 San Juan Water District Board meeting.  
  
President Schild provided an oral report regarding the May 21, 2015 McClellan Restoration Advisory Board meeting and the May 27, 2015 San Juan Water District Board meeting.

Director Gayle provided an oral report regarding the May 21, 2015 McClellan Restoration Advisory Board meeting.

**Miscellaneous Correspondence and General Information**

- 26. Certain correspondence received by the District was provided.
- 27. General information related to District business was provided.

**Director's Comments/Staff Statements and Requests**

None.

**Closed Session (Closed Session Items are not opened to the public)**

The Board convened in closed session at 9:12 p.m. to discuss the following:

- 28. a. Public employee performance evaluation involving the General Manager under Government Code section 54954.5(e) and 54957.
- b. Conference with Board negotiating committee (including Directors Gayle and Schild) involving the General Manager under Government Code sections 54954.5(f) and 54957.6.

**Return to Open Session**

The Board reconvened in open session at 10:22 p.m. There was no reportable action.

**Adjournment**

President Schild adjourned the meeting at 10:23 p.m.

---

Robert S. Roscoe  
General Manager/Secretary  
Sacramento Suburban Water District